

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_91029
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE DRYING PARAMETERS OF Theobroma cacao AND Musa paradisiacal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript Ms_AJRAF_91029 aims to analyze the dried Cocoa beans and plantain using two-way dryer that leads to comparison of drying parameters. The framework consists of monitoring moisture content profiles using moisture analyser and finding moisture content and drying rates for both and finally moisture content variation at different drying temperatures.	
Minor REVISION comments	The topic is in my opinion relevant to the aim and scope of AJRAF. I believe there is enough technical content to proceed with the review process. The main issue stands with novelty: already sufficient literature is available in the field of drying process. The author applied well-established techniques which are available in scientific community. My observation is corroborated by the Introduction, which does not identify any knowledge gap that is addressed by the study.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinnakota Yesubabu
Department, University & Country	Vikas College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, India