

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_89185
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Agricultural Extension Training and Education as Information-inputs for Maize Productivity in a rural set-up of North-Rift, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good analysis and presentation in these article 502 households sampled purposively and by simple random sampling method and Welch's t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used. The author's most important point out focused valuable conclusion The natural control group in the study who had not received any crop production training constituted about 42.8%. This finding has implications for the formulation of policy on agricultural.	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammar should be revise	
Optional/General comments	Analysis and presentation good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Tholkappian
Department, University & Country	Government Arts and Science College, India