

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJPR_90614  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effectiveness of nutrition interventions on prevention of diarrhea incidence among children aged less than two years in Tanzania |
| Type of the Article      | Data Article   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>This is study on the etiology of diarrhea diseases during young children younger than 2 years old in Tanzania using database. The study method seems acceptable for epidemiological study. However, my concerns are the follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Population bias regarding living place over 6 months: in table 3, no babies older than 6 months live in rural.</li> <li>2. In table 3 also, 95% confidence interval must be added with odds ratios.</li> <li>3. No speculative explanations to the findings that richer household had more frequent occurrence of diarrhea because this is reverse finding to the previous studies as the authors also stated.</li> <li>4. No data of weight or the other anthropometric measures or birth data including birth weight, gestational age, because smaller weight or birth weight might be predictor of diarrhea.</li> <li>5. The objective definition must be written. Otherwise, it is unclear who had it or not.</li> </ol> <p>I ask to the authors to respond to the abovementioned points. Otherwise, this is not accepted.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Line numbers might be added for reviewers to point the places to correct or request to answer.</li> </ol>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Teruyoshi Amagai                                |
| Department, University & Country | Jikei University of Health Care Sciences, Japan |