

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_90597
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiovascular Abnormalities in Williams-Beuren Syndrome
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Present case study involving 3 cases William Beuren(WBS) syndrome describing cardiovascular abnormality is interesting The diagnosis of WBS is always confirmed by FISH showing the chromosomal abnormality In the present study patient 1 has the physical features and CVS abnormality and finally FISH study confirmed the diagnosis but other two patients the report is not available Basing only on physical appearance WBS can't be diagnosed, as other diseases like Noonan syndrome which have similar physical and some cardiovascular abnormality may appear like WBS The present study titled cardiovascular abnormality in WBS hence it has to be confirmed by FISH study in all 3 cases to make an impact Suggestion patient 1 can be presented as WBS with cardiovascular abnormality and can be discussed having Typical and Atypical features in comparison to what has been described in the literature	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Patient no 2 the typical facial features described were shown in Fig no 3 but no such image is seen	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	A Tumbanatham
Department, University & Country	Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, India