

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_89349
Title of the Manuscript:	Macrophagic activation syndrome revealing Hodgkin lymphoma: Case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Article : case report.</b>            The article presented has different errors that should be corrected for publication.            Among the errors located in the review we can indicate the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- 1- the article format does not meet all indicators that the journal advises authors, among them:</li> <li>2- A)- does not define the objective of the research, nor in the abstract nor in the introduction.</li> <li>3- B)- abstract does not follow the steps indicated by the journal.</li> <li>4- C) do not set keywords.</li> <li>5- D)- does not inform whether or not the research with humanos is approved by the ethics committee of the hospital where the research was developed.</li> <li>6- E)- it is not informed if the parents or responsible for the child that was study were well informed and guided about the research to be carried out with them.</li> <li>7- F)- it was not informed if the researcher obtained the signature of the child's responsible.</li> <li>G)- it is not indicated in which hospital the research was performed, which was or were the departments that participated, where the hospital is located, province, state, country.</li> <li>8- 8- the journal's indications are not complied with while the indications to place the figures tables, drawing, etc. . In the article they are at the end without further information about their location.</li> <li>9- A) every figure, drawing table must be included within the article, at the moment it was mentioned. Must have a number and a title at the top of the table. (journal guidance 4)              B) the figures do not have a good presentation. Each figure must have a consecutive numbering and a title that briefly identify each figure.</li> <li>10- 10- not all of the abbreviations were identified that mean each one. There are also different representations for the same abbreviature.</li> <li>11- Ex. Ui=international units, also written as ui. Others like g/l, which should be: g/l.</li> <li>12- Otrs were written as g/l , should be g/l.</li> <li>13- - some which were not described in its meaning also appear. Ex. Ldh; cd25.</li> <li>14- - hopking limfoma, its abbreviature was not defined at the first opportunity in which it was informed in the abstract. (lh).</li> <li>15- - another case was epstein-barr virus (ebv). Which were suggested by the reviewer.</li> <li>16- 11- the introduction has three lines only for 3 references. Very simple in references, although there are multipkrs articles already published, which would improve the article and its currency. We think that this introduction should be extended with new references and that the already referred to be enlarged.</li> <li>17- 17- case report: adolescents with the same points as signed above: approval of the child's responsible; orientation of the research to be carried out and your approval that its results be published.              Hospital ethics committee or other approval.              Location of the hospital and its departments involved, location, province, state, country. C.e. Approval process number</li> <li>18- In the discussion: many similar errors, already reported. In the original article the most serious errors are underlined in purple, correct things in green and less serious errors in yellow. For yodo the item.</li> <li>19- 19- in conclusion: very simple and a research is not completed with a 5-year-old child.              Should seek to improve the conclusions on the basis of the clinical study performed.</li> <li>20- the figures: must go to the front in the text where they were mentioned for the first time, and above all separate them each one, place the word figuyra and an arabic number. Then a brief title that explains the meaning of this figure and so on.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	LOOK FOR THE JOURNAL'S INDICATIONS TO IMPROVE THE ARTICLE.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Havana University-Cuba, Cuba</b>