

The risk model with a constant dividend barrier affected by a threshold value

Abstract

This paper considers a new risk model with a constant dividend barrier, which the claim amount affected by a threshold value. The hypothesis of the model is presented and the integro-differential equation for the Gerber-Shiu penalty function is given. Then the linear solution of the Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function is figured out. The paper also derives the integro-differential equation and the linear solution of the expected discounted dividend payments. An example is given too.

Keywords: Gerber-Shiu penalty function; Integro-differential equation; Linear solution; Expected discounted dividend payments

1 Introduction

Ruin probability and related problems in the classical risk model have been studied extensively. But in the theory, the classical compound Poisson risk model are independence between the claim amount and the interclaim time. It is not common in the real world for such an assumption. For example, in the natural catastrophic events, the total claim amount and the time elapsed since the previous catastrophes are dependent. See Boudreault(2003)^[1] and Nikoloulopoulos and Karlis(2008)^[2].

Since then, many authors focused themselves on the dependent structure. Albrecher and Boxma (2004)^[3] studied a dependency structure, in which the distribution of the time between two adjacent claims depends on the amount of the previous claim. M. Boudreault et al.(2006)^[4] thought about a reverse dependence structure, that is, the time elapsed since the last claim determines the distribution of the next claim size. And Albrecher and Teugels (2006)^[5] gave an arbitrary dependence structure expressed by a copula function. S. Vrontos et al.(2012)^[6] focused themselves on a renewal risk process, which is dependence under a Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copula function and follows the Erlang(n) distribution. Guan and Hu(2021)^[7] considered the risk model with INAR(1) (2021) processes.

Some authors studied the model with a constant dividend barrier. De Finetti (1957)^[8] proposed the dividend strategies for insurance risk models initially. After this, many good papers focused on finding the optimal dividend strategy. Barrier strategies for the compound Poisson risk have been considered by Dickson and Waters (2004)^[9] and Lin et al.(2011)^[10]. Li and Garrido(2004)^[11] considered a renewal risk process in the presence of a constant dividend barrier in which the claim waiting times are generalized Erlang(n) distributed. There are some papers studied the constant dividend barrier in an interclaim-dependent risk model and some papers studied the discrete dividend barrier for the Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function and so on. Some other papers thought about the constant dividend too. See Liu and Dan(2014)^[12] and Zhang Lianzeng and Liu He(2020)^[13].

In real life, the amount of claims may also be affected by other factors. In this paper, the risk model in which the distribution of the claim size is controlled by a threshold value M . If the claim arrive times T is smaller than M , then the following claim size X_i has density function $f_1(x)$, otherwise its density function is $f_2(x)$.

The paper is organized as follows. The risk model with a threshold value in the presence of a constant dividend barrier is introduced in section 2. In section 3, we derive an integrodifferential equation for the Gerber-Shiu penalty function and the linear solution to Gerber-Shiu penalty function. We analyze the expected discounted dividend payments in section 4. In section 5, explicit results are given.

2 The model

We introduce the model in this part. The new surplus process $\{U(t), t \geq 0\}$ defined as follows

$$U(t) = u + qt -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N X_i$$

X_i ,

where $u = U(0) \geq 0$ is the initial surplus and $q(q > 0)$ is the premium rate. The claim number

process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a homogeneous Poisson process. $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

$i=1$ is a sequence of independent and

identically distributed(i.i.d.) interclaim times and the claim arrival times is $T_j, j \in N_+$ which $T_j = W_1 + \dots + W_j$, and the random variable (r.v.) W_i has an Erlang(2) distribution with expectation

$1/k, k > 0$. The probability distribution function (p.d.f.) gives

$$f_W(t) = kze^{-kt}$$

$$t \geq 0$$

The random variable (r.v.) X_i represents the size of the i th claim. We assume that $M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ is a sequence of i.i.d. non-negative random variables. It is distributed as M with exponentially distribution with expectation $1/\lambda, \lambda > 0$ and p.d.f. given by

$$f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

Then the claim sizes X_i are determined as follows: If T_i is smaller than M_i , then the following claim size X_i has density function $f_1(x)$, otherwise its density function is $f_2(x)$. Here $M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent of T_i and X_i . From above notations, we get that

$$P(M \leq T) = 1 - e^{-\lambda T}$$

$$P(M > T) = e^{-\lambda T}$$

$$P(M > T) = e^{-\lambda T}$$

$$P(M > T) = e^{-\lambda T}$$

Assuming that the insurance company needs dividends, we consider the Barrier strategy in this paper. That is, if the surplus reaches b , all this part will be distributed to shareholders, if the surplus is less than b , no dividend will be distributed. Let $D(t)$ denote the dividend from time 0 to time t , and $U_b(t)$ denotes the surplus process at time t under this barrier strategy,

$$U_b(t) = U(t) - D(t), t \geq 0$$

Let u is the initial capital, that is $u = U_b(0)$. Corrected surplus process satisfied

$$dU_b(t) =$$

$$c - \delta U_b(t) dt - dS(t), U_b(t) < b$$

$$:$$

$$c - \delta U_b(t) dt - dS(t), U_b(t) < b$$

$$-dS(t), U_b(t) = b$$

where $S(t) =$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$$

$$:$$

We ask $\rho = \inf_{t \geq 0} \{t, U_t < 0\}$ to be the ruin time which $\rho = \infty$ if $X_t \geq 0$. The deficit at ruin

is denoted by $|U_\rho|$ and $U_{\rho-}$ is the surplus just prior to ruin. The Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function $m_\theta(u)$ is defined as

$$m_\theta(u) = E[e^{-\rho w} (U_{\rho-}, |U_\rho|) \mathbf{1}_{\rho < \infty} | U_0 = u],$$

$$:$$

where $\theta > 0, w : R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is the penalty function. And the expected discounted dividend

payments function is defined as

$$V_{b,\theta}(t) = E[D],$$

where

$$D =$$

$$\int_0^t e^{-\theta t} dD(t)$$

$$:$$

3 The integro-differential equation of the $m_{b,\theta}(u)$

In this section, we want to derive the integro-differential equation of the Gerber-Shiu penalty function $m_{b,\theta}(u)$. This equation utilizes the continuous property of the continuous distribution process and then derive the integro-differential equation and identify its boundary condition under the barrier strategy. In order to do so, we should obtain $m_{b,\theta}(u)$ at first. For $0 \leq u \leq b$, we have

$$m_{b,\theta}(u) = E[e^{-\rho w} (U_{\rho-}, |U_\rho|) \mathbf{1}_{\rho < \infty} | U_0 = u]$$

$$:$$

$$= \int_{b-u}^b e^{-\theta(b-u)} q e^{-\theta(b-u)} \int_0^{b-u} e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} q e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} dx + \int_0^u e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} q e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} dx$$

$$:$$

$$= \int_0^u e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} q e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} dx$$

$$:$$

$$= \int_0^u e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} q e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} dx$$

$$:$$

$$= \int_0^u e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} q e^{-\theta(b-u-x)} dx$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M > t)$$

$$\int_0^{u+qt} m_{b,\theta}(u+qt-x) f_1(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M > t)$$

$$\int_{u+ct}^{\infty} w(u+ct, x-(u+qt)) f_1(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M \leq t)$$

$$\int_0^{u+qt} m_{b,\theta}(u+qt-x) f_2(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M \leq t)$$

$$\int_{u+qt}^{\infty} w(u+ct, x-(u+qt)) f_2(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M > t)$$

$$\int_0^b m_{b,\theta}(b-x) f_1(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M > t)$$

$$\int_b^{\infty} w(b, x-b) f_1(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$-t f_w(t) P(M \leq t)$$

$$\int_0^b m_{b,\theta}(b-x) f_2(x) dx dt$$

$$+$$

$$\int_0^q m_{b-u}$$

$$e$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\theta f w(t) P(M \leq t) \\
& \int_b^\infty \\
& w(b, x - b) f_2(x) dx dt \\
& = \\
& \int_0^q \\
& k_2 t e \\
& -(\theta + t + k) t^{\gamma_{1,b,\theta}} (u + qt) dt \\
& + \\
& \int_0^q \\
& k_2 t e \\
& -(\theta + k) t (1 - e \\
& -t) \gamma_{2,b,\theta} (u + qt) dt \\
& + \\
& \int_{b-u}^\infty \\
& k_2 t e \\
& -(\theta + t + k) t^{\gamma_{1,b,\theta}} (b) dt \\
& + \\
& \int_{b-u}^\infty \\
& k_2 t e \\
& -(\theta + k) t (1 - e \\
& -t) \gamma_{2,b,\theta} (b) dt, \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_i(u) = \\
\int_u^\infty \\
w(u, x - u) f_i(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{i,b,\theta}(u) = \\
\int_0^u \\
m_{b,\theta}(u - x) f_i(x) dx + \zeta_i(u), \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

for $i=1,2$. Simple modifications of (1) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{b,\theta}(u) = \\
k_2 \\
q^2 \\
\int_u^b \\
(t - u) e \\
-(\theta + t + k) t^{-u} \\
q (\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t)) dt \\
+ \\
k_2 \\
q^2 \\
\int_u^b \\
(t - u) e \\
-(\theta + k) t^{-u} \\
q \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t) dt \\
+ \\
k_2 \\
q^2 \\
\int_b^\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (t-u)e^{-(\theta+t+k)t-u} \\
& \int_b^\infty (\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(b) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(b)) dt \\
& + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& \int_b^\infty \\
& (t-u)e^{-(\theta+k)t-u} \\
& \int_u^\infty \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(b) dt \\
& = \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& \int_u^\infty \\
& (t-u)e^{-(\theta+t+k)t-u} \\
& \int_u^\infty (\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)) dt \\
& + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& \int_u^\infty \\
& (t-u)e^{-(\theta+k)t-u} \\
& \int_u^\infty \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt, \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq u \leq b$ where $t \wedge b = \min(t, b)$.

In the following, for simplicity we denote I and D to be the identity and the differential operators.

Theorem 1. Let $\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(u)$ be differentiable with respect to (w.r.t.) u . In the risk model with the claim amount affected by a threshold value and a constant dividend b , the Gerber-Shiu expected discount penalty function $m_{b,\theta}(u)$ satisfies the following integro-differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dot{A} \\
& \theta + l + k \\
& q \\
& I - D \\
& \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\
& \theta + k \\
& q \\
& I - D \\
& \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\
& \theta + k \\
& q \\
& I - D \\
& \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\
& \theta + l + k \\
& q \\
& I - D \\
& \tilde{a} \\
& m_{b,\theta}(u) \\
& = \\
& k_2 \\
& q_1 \\
& \dot{i} \tilde{A} \\
& \theta + k \\
& q \\
& \tilde{a}_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$I - 2(\theta + k)$$

$$\frac{q}{D + D^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma_1(t \wedge b) + k_2)$$

$$\frac{q}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (2(\theta + k) + l)$$

$$\frac{q}{I - 2D}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma_2(t \wedge b)), \quad (4)$$

for $0 \leq u \leq b < \infty$ with boundary conditions:

$$m_{b,\theta}(b) = m$$

$$m_{b,\theta}(b) = 0, \quad m^{(3)}$$

$$m_{b,\theta}(b) = \frac{k_2}{q^2 \gamma}$$

$$m_{1,b,\theta}(b), \quad m^{(4)}$$

$$m_{b,\theta}(b) = -k_2 \frac{q^2}{2(k + \theta)}$$

$$\frac{q}{\gamma} m_{1,b,\theta}(b) - k_2$$

$$\frac{q^2}{2l}$$

$$\frac{q}{\gamma}$$

$$m_{2,b,\theta}(b) + \frac{k_2}{q^2 \gamma}$$

$$m_{1,b,\theta}(b), \quad (5)$$

Proof. By looking at the equation above, we can differentiate Eq(3) and put (3) into the result, we have

$$\frac{dm_{b,\theta}(u)}{du}$$

$$= l + k + \theta$$

$$\frac{q}{m_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2}$$

$$\frac{q^2}{l}$$

$$\frac{q}{\int_u^\infty}$$

$$(t - u)e$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (1-u)^{k+\theta} \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt \\
& - k_2 \\
& \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} (1-u)^{k+\theta} \\
& \gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) \\
& dt \\
& - k_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \\
& \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

(6)

From Eq(6), let $f_{b,\theta}(u) = \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt$

$$f_{b,\theta}(u) - m$$

and differentiating $f_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{b,\theta}'(u) = \\
& k + \theta \\
& \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \\
& \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \\
& k_2 \\
& \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \\
& \gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) \\
& dt - k_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt.$$

(7)

From Eq(7), let $g_{b,\theta}(u) = \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty e^{-t+k+\theta} \gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt$

$$q f_{b,\theta}(u) - f$$

$g_{b,\theta}(u)$, and differentiating $g_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$g$$

$$b_{b,\theta}(u) = k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$g_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$u$$

$$e$$

$$-t+k+\theta$$

$$q^{(t-u)}[\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)] dt$$

$$+$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l - k - \theta$$

$$q$$

$$\gamma_{1,b,\theta}(u) - 2$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\gamma_{2,b,\theta}(u) +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2 \gamma$$

$$1_{b,\theta}(u).$$

(8)

From Eq(8), let $h_{b,\theta}(u) = k+\theta$

$$q g_{b,\theta}(u) - g$$

$$,$$

$$h_{b,\theta}(u)$$
, and differentiating $h_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$h$$

$$,$$

$$b_{b,\theta}(u) =$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$h_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$(k + \theta)^2$$

$$q^2 \gamma_{1,b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l(l + 2k + 2\theta)$$

$$q^2 \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(u)$$

$$+$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$2(k + \theta)$$

$$q$$

$$\gamma$$

$$1_{b,\theta}(u) + 2$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

l

q

γ

$2, b, \theta(u) - k_2$

$q_2 \gamma^n$

$1, b, \theta(u).$

(9)

From Eq(9), let $p_{b, \theta}(u) = l + k + \theta$

$q h_{b, \theta}(u) - h$

$p_{b, \theta}(u)$, then we have

$p_{b, \theta}(u) =$

k_2

q_2

\dot{I}

$(k + \theta)_2$

$q_2 I - 2(k + \theta)$

q

$D + D_2$

$\dot{0}$

$\gamma_{1, b, \theta}(u)$

$+$

k_2

q_2

\dot{I}

$l(l + 2k + 2\theta)$

$q_2 I - 2$

l

q

D

$\dot{0}$

$\gamma_{2, b, \theta}(u).$

(10)

Above all, using the identity and differentiation operators, we can easily get the Eq (4) and the

boundary condition when $u = b$.

3.1 Linear solution to $m_{b, \theta}(u)$

We can know that Eq (4) doesn't depend on the dividend boundary b , so we can obtain the

Gerber-Shiu expected discount penalty function $m^{\infty, \theta}(u)$ with no dividend boundary satisfies the

following inhomogeneous integro-differential equation:

\dot{A}

$\theta + l + k$

q

$I - D$

\dot{A}

$\theta + k$

q

$I - D$

\dot{A}

$\theta + k$

q

$I - D$

$\theta + l + k$

q

$I - D$

\dot{A}

$m^{\infty, \theta}(u)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \\
& \frac{q}{i\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + k \\
& \frac{q}{\tilde{a}_2} \\
& I - 2(\theta + k) \\
& \frac{q}{D + D_2} \\
& \dot{} \\
& \left(\int_0^u \right. \\
& \left. m_{\infty, \theta}(u - x) f_1(x) dx \right. \\
& + \\
& k_2 l \\
& \frac{q}{i} \\
& 2(\theta + k) + l \\
& \frac{q}{I - 2D} \\
& \dot{} \int_0^u \\
& \left. m_{\infty, \theta}(u - x) f_2(x) dx \right). \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

The defective equation can be obtained by Laplace transform of the $m_{\infty, \theta}(u)$ when $0 \leq u < \infty$.

From Theorem 1, we can see that the $m_{b, \theta}(u)$ can be expressed as a combination of a particular solution and four linearly independent solutions, where the four linearly independent solutions satisfy the following integro-differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + l + k \\
& \frac{q}{I - D} \\
& \tilde{a}\dot{\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + k \\
& \frac{q}{I - D} \\
& \tilde{a}\dot{\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + k \\
& \frac{q}{I - D} \\
& \tilde{a}\dot{\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + l + k \\
& \frac{q}{I - D} \\
& \tilde{a} \\
& y(u) \\
& = \\
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q}{i\tilde{A}} \\
& \theta + k \\
& \frac{q}{\tilde{a}_2} \\
& I - 2(\theta + k) \\
& \frac{q}{D + D_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^u y(u-x)f_1(x)dx \\
& + \\
& \int_0^q k_2 \\
& \int_0^q \\
& \int_0^q \\
& 2(\theta+k)+l \\
& \int_0^q \\
& I-2D \\
& \int_0^u y(u-x)f_2(x)dx. \quad (12) \\
& \text{Let} \\
& \hat{f}_i(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} f_i(x) dx, \quad i = 1, 2. \\
& \text{and} \\
& G = \hat{A} \\
& l+k+\theta \\
& \int_0^q -s \\
& \hat{A} \\
& k+\theta \\
& \int_0^q -s \\
& \hat{A} \\
& k+\theta \\
& \int_0^q -s \\
& \hat{A} \\
& l+k+\theta \\
& \int_0^q -s \\
& \hat{A} \\
& -k_2 \\
& \int_0^q \\
& \int_0^q \\
& (k+\theta)^2 \\
& \int_0^q \\
& -2(k+\theta) \\
& \int_0^q \\
& s+s^2 \\
& \int_0^q \\
& \hat{f}_1(s) - k_2 \\
& \int_0^q \\
& \int_0^q \\
& l(l+2k+2\theta) \\
& \int_0^q \\
& -2ls \\
& \int_0^q \\
& \int_0^q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{f}_2(s).$$

In order to get the four solutions, we take the Laplace transform of the Eq(12):

$$\hat{y}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-su} y(u) du.$$

Let's say the four linearly independent solutions as $\{y_{1,\theta}(u)\}, \{y_{2,\theta}(u)\}, \{y_{3,\theta}(u)\}, \{y_{4,\theta}(u)\}$, where

$$G * \hat{y}_{1,\theta}(s) = s^3 - 2$$

$$l + 2k + 2\theta$$

$$q$$

$$s^2 +$$

$$\dot{}$$

$$(k + \theta)^2$$

$$q^2 + 4$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$+$$

$$(l + k + \theta)^2$$

$$q^2$$

$$\dot{}$$

$$s$$

$$- 2$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$l + 2k + 2\theta$$

$$q$$

$$G * \hat{y}_{2,\theta}(s) = s^2 - 2$$

$$l + 2k + 2\theta$$

$$q$$

$$s +$$

$$\dot{}$$

$$(k + \theta)^2 + (l + k + \theta)^2$$

$$q^2 + 4$$

$$(k + \theta)(l + k + \theta)$$

$$q^2$$

$$\dot{}$$

$$G * \hat{y}_{3,\theta}(s) = s - 2$$

$$l + 2k + 2\theta$$

$$q$$

$$G * \hat{y}_{4,\theta}(s) = 1.$$

Theorem 2. One expression for the Gerber-Shiu expected penalty function $m_{b,\theta}(u)$ is:

$$m_{b,\theta}(u) = m_{\infty,\theta}(u) + S_1 y_{1,\theta}(u) + S_2 y_{2,\theta}(u) + S_3 y_{3,\theta}(u) + S_4 y_{4,\theta}(u), 0 \leq u \leq b, (13)$$

where S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 are the solutions of the following linear equations:

$$S_1 y$$

$$1,\theta(b) + S_2 y$$

$$2,\theta(b) + S_3 y$$

$$3,\theta(b) + S_4 y$$

$$4,\theta(b) = -m$$

$$\infty,\theta(b)$$

$$(14)$$

$S_1 y''$ $1. \theta(b) + S_2 y''$ $2. \theta(b) + S_3 y''$ $3. \theta(b) + S_4 y''$ $4. \theta(b) = -m''$ $\infty, \theta(b)$ (15) S_1 \ddot{y} $y^{(3)}$ $1. \theta(b) - k_2$ $q_2 D$ \int_0^u $y_1, \theta(b-x) f_1(x) dx$ $\dot{\theta}$ $+S_2$ \ddot{y} $y^{(3)}$ $2. \theta(b) - k_2$ $q_2 D$ \int_0^u $y_2, \theta(b-x) f_1(x) dx$ $\dot{\theta}$ $+S_3$ \ddot{y} $y^{(3)}$ $3. \theta(b) - k_2$ $q_2 D$ \int_0^u $y_3, \theta(b-x) f_1(x) dx$ $\dot{\theta}$ $+S_4$ \ddot{y} $y^{(3)}$ $4. \theta(b) - k_2$ $q_2 D$ \int_0^u $y_4, \theta(b-x) f_1(x) dx$ $\dot{\theta}$ $=$ k_2 $q_2 D$ \int_0^u $m_{\infty, \theta(b-x)} f_1(x) dy +$ k_2 $q_2 \zeta$ $1(b) - m^{(3)}$ $\infty, \theta(b).$ (16) S_1 \ddot{y} $y^{(4)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{1,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2l \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{1,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& q_2 D_2 \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{1,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& + S_2 \\
& \ddot{i} \\
& y^{(4)} \\
& 2.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{2,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2l \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{2,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& q_2 D_2 \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{2,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& + S_3 \\
& \ddot{i} \\
& y^{(4)} \\
& 3.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{3,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \frac{q}{D} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{3,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{3,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& + S_4 \\
& \ddot{y} \\
& y^{(4)} \\
& 4.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2(k+\theta)} \\
& \frac{q}{D} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx + \\
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \frac{q}{D} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& = \\
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \frac{q}{D} \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& m_{\infty,\theta}(b-u)f_2(x) dx + \\
& k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2l} \\
& \int_0^\infty u \\
& m_{\infty,b}(u-x)f_1(x) dx - k_2 \\
& \frac{q^2}{2(k+\theta)} \\
& \frac{q}{D} \\
& \zeta \\
& z(b) \\
& + \\
& k_2
\end{aligned}$$

$q_2 \zeta$

$1(b) - m(4)$

$\infty, \theta(b)$.

(17)

Proof. Since the $m_{b,\theta}(u)$ satisfies the the boundary condition (5), then we can get the Eq(14) and Eq(15). Differentiating the Eq(2), we have

$D\gamma_{i,b,\theta}(u) = D$

\int_0^u

$m_{b,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx + \zeta_i(u)$

\tilde{a}

$= S_1 D$

\int_0^u

$y_{1,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx + S_2 D$

\int_0^u

$y_{2,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx$

$+ S_3 D$

\int_0^u

$y_{3,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dy + S_4 D$

\int_0^u

$y_{4,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx$

$+ D$

\int_0^u

$m_{\infty,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dy + D\zeta_i(u)$,

and

$D_2\gamma_{i,b,\theta}(u) = D_2$

\int_0^u

$m_{b,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx + \zeta_i(u)$

\tilde{a}

$= S_1 D_2$

\int_0^u

$y_{1,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx + S_2 D_2$

\int_0^u

$y_{2,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx$

$+ S_3 D_2$

\int_0^u

$y_{3,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx + S_4 D_2$

\int_0^u

$y_{4,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx$

$+ D_2$

\int_0^u

$m_{\infty,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dy + D_2\zeta_i(u)$.

Then using them, we can get Eq(16) and Eq(17) at $u=b$.

4 Analysis of the expected discounted dividend payments

In this section, we analyze the expect discounted dividends $V_{b,\theta}$ before ruin. In order to find

condition $V_{b,\theta}$ on the first time T and the amount of the claim X , when $0 \leq u \leq b$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{b,\theta}(u) = & \int_0^q \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} k_2 t e^{-(\theta+k)t} P(M > t) \\
 & V_{b,\theta}(u + qt - x) f_1(x) dx dt \\
 & + \int_0^q \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} k_2 t e^{-(\theta+k)t} P(M \leq t) \\
 & V_{b,\theta}(u + qt - x) f_2(x) dx dt \\
 & + \int_0^q \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} k_2 t e^{-kt} P(M > t) \\
 & \frac{q e^{-\theta} \cdot \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} a e^{-(t-b-u)/q} dx dt}{+ e^{-\theta} V_{b,\theta}(b-x) \tilde{a} \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} f_1(x) dx dt} \\
 & + \int_0^q \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} k_2 t e^{-kt} P(M \leq t) \\
 & \frac{q e^{-\theta} \cdot \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} a e^{-(t-b-u)/q} dx dt}{+ e^{-\theta} V_{b,\theta}(b-x) \tilde{a} \int_{b-u}^{b-u+ct} f_2(x) dx dt}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{b-u}^{\infty} \frac{q}{k_2 t e^{-k_1 t}} P(M > t)$$

$$\int_{b-u}^{\infty} \frac{q e^{-\theta t}}{a (t-b-u)^q} \tilde{A}$$

$$\tilde{a} \int_{b-u}^{\infty} f_1(x) dx dt$$

$$+ \int_{b-u}^{\infty} \frac{q}{k_2 t e^{-k_1 t}} P(M \leq t)$$

$$\int_{b-u}^{\infty} \frac{q e^{-\theta t}}{a (t-b-u)^q} \cdot$$

$$\tilde{a} \int_{b-u}^{\infty} f_2(x) dx dt$$

$$= \int_u^{\infty} (t-u) e^{-t+k+\theta}$$

$$\frac{q}{k_2} \int_u^{\infty} (t-u) e^{-t+k+\theta} \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)$$

$$dt$$

$$+ k_2$$

$$\int_u^{\infty} (t-u) e^{-k+\theta}$$

$$\frac{q}{k_2} \int_u^{\infty} (t-u) e^{-k+\theta} \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt$$

$$+ \tilde{a}$$

$$\frac{q}{k}$$

$$- q$$

$$\begin{aligned} & k + \theta \\ & \tilde{a} \\ & (b - u) + \\ & \dot{A} \\ & q \\ & k \\ & \tilde{a}_2 \\ & - \\ & \dot{A} \\ & q \\ & k + \theta \\ & \tilde{a}_2 \dot{0} \\ & e \\ & -k + \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$q(b-u),$$

$$(18)$$

where

$\alpha_{i,b,\theta}(u) = \int_0^u V_{b,\theta}(u-y)f_i(y)dy, i = 1, 2.$

Theorem 3. In this risk model with a constant dividend barrier b , the expect discounted dividends $V_{b,\theta}$ satisfies the following integro-differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{A} \\ & \theta + l + k \\ & q \\ & I - D \\ & \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\ & \theta + k \\ & q \\ & I - D \\ & \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\ & \theta + k \\ & q \\ & I - D \\ & \tilde{a} \dot{A} \\ & \theta + l + k \\ & q \\ & I - D \\ & \tilde{a} \\ & V_{b,\theta}(u) \\ & = \\ & k_2 \\ & q \\ & \dot{A} \\ & \theta + k \\ & q \\ & \tilde{a}_2 \\ & I - 2(\theta + k) \\ & q \\ & D + D_2 \\ & \dot{0} \int_0^u \\ & V_{b,\theta}(u-x)f_i(x)dx \\ & + \\ & k_2 \\ & q \\ & l \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{q}{l}$$

$$2(\theta + k) + l$$

$$q$$

$$I - 2D$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} u$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(u-x)f_2(x)dx, 0 \leq u \leq \infty, (19)$$

where the boundary condition is:

$$V$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) =$$

$$\theta q$$

$$k_2,$$

$$V''$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) =$$

$$\theta_2$$

$$k_2,$$

$$V^{(3)}$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) =$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2 \alpha$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) +$$

$$l\theta$$

$$qk$$

$$,$$

$$V^{(4)}$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) = -k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2(k + \theta)$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$,$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) - k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2l$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$,$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b) +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2 \alpha$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(b).$$

$$(20)$$

Proof. By looking at the equation above, we can differentiate Eq(19) and put Eq(19) into the result, we have

$$dV_{b,\theta}(u)$$

$$du$$

$$=$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$V_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u$$

$$u$$

$$(t - u)e$$

$$-k+\theta$$

$${}_q(t-u)\alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt$$

$$-k_2$$

$$q_2 \int_u^\infty$$

$$e^{-t+k+\theta}$$

$${}_q(t-u)$$

$$\alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)$$

$$\dot{}$$

$$-k_2$$

$$q_2 \int_u^\infty$$

$$e^{-k+\theta}$$

$${}_q(t-u)\alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt$$

$$-$$

$$\beta$$

$$l$$

$$\frac{q}{\tilde{A}}$$

$$\frac{q}{k}$$

$$-q$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$\tilde{a}$$

$$(b - u) +$$

$$l$$

$$\frac{q}{\tilde{A}}$$

$$\frac{q}{k}$$

$$\tilde{a}_2 \dot{}$$

$$+$$

$$\frac{q}{k}$$

$$-q$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$\tilde{a}^{\text{TM}}$$

$$e^{-k+\theta}$$

$${}_q(b-u), (21)$$

$$\text{From Eq(21), let } f_{b,\theta}(u) = {}_{l+k+\theta}$$

$${}_q V_{b,\theta}(u) - V$$

$_{b,\theta}(u)$ and differentiating $f_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$f,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_{b,\theta}(u) = \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& l \\
& q \\
& k + \theta \\
& q \\
& \int_u^\infty \\
& (t - u)e \\
& {}_{-k+\theta} \\
& {}_q(t-u)\alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt \\
& -k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& l - k - \theta \\
& q \\
& \int_u^\infty \\
& e \\
& {}_{-k+\theta} \\
& {}_q(t-u)\alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt \\
& + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& l + k + \theta \\
& q \\
& \int_u^\infty \\
& e \\
& {}_{-l+k+\theta} \\
& {}_q(t-u) \\
& \ddot{I} \\
& \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) \\
& \dot{} \\
& dt \\
& -k_2 \\
& q_2 \alpha_1(t \wedge b) + \\
& \ddot{I} \\
& -l \\
& q \\
& \ddot{A} \\
& q \\
& k \\
& -q \\
& k + \theta \\
& \tilde{\alpha} \dot{} \\
& e \\
& {}_{-k+\theta} \\
& {}_q(b-u) \\
& + \\
& k + \theta \\
& q \\
& \ddot{B} \\
& l \\
& q \\
& \ddot{A} \\
& q \\
& k \\
& -q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& k + \theta \\
& \tilde{a} \\
& (b - u) + \\
& l \\
& q \\
& i\ddot{A} \\
& q \\
& k \\
& \tilde{a}_2 \\
& - \\
& \dot{A} \\
& q \\
& k + \theta \\
& \tilde{a}_2 \dot{\theta} \\
& + \\
& \dot{A} \\
& q \\
& k \\
& - q \\
& k + \theta \\
& \tilde{a}^{\text{TM}} \\
& e \\
& e^{-k+\theta} \\
& q(b-u) \\
& = \\
& k + \theta \\
& q \\
& f_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2 \\
& q^2 \\
& l \\
& q \\
& \int_{u}^{\infty} \\
& \int_{u}^{\infty} \\
& e \\
& e^{-k+\theta} \\
& q(t-u)^{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) dt \\
& + \\
& k_2 \\
& q^2 \\
& l \\
& q \\
& \int_{u}^{\infty} \\
& e \\
& e^{-t+k+\theta} \\
& q(t-u) \\
& \ddot{i} \\
& \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) \\
& \dot{\theta} \\
& dt - k_2 \\
& q^2 \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u). \\
& - l \\
& q \\
& \dot{A} \\
& q \\
& k \\
& - q
\end{aligned}$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$\tilde{a}$$

$$e$$

$$^{-k+\theta}$$

$$q^{(b-u)}, \quad (22)$$

From Eq(22), let $g_{b,\theta}(u) = k+\theta$

$$q f_{b,\theta}(u) - f$$

$$,$$

$g_{b,\theta}(u)$, and differentiating $g_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$g$$

$$,$$

$$g_{b,\theta}(u) =$$

$$k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$g_{b,\theta}(u)$$

$$- k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$u$$

$$e$$

$$^{-l+k+\theta}$$

$$q^{(t-u)}[\alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)] dt$$

$$+$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l - k - \theta$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u) - 2$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha_{2,b,\theta}(u) +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2 \alpha$$

$$g_{b,\theta}(u).$$

$$(23)$$

From Eq(23), let $h_{b,\theta}(u) = k+\theta$

$$q g_{b,\theta}(u) - g$$

$$,$$

$h_{b,\theta}(u)$, and differentiating $h_{b,\theta}(u)$ w.r.t. u , then we have

$$h$$

$$,$$

$$h_{b,\theta}(u) =$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$u$$

$$e$$

$$^{-l+k+\theta}$$

$$q^{(t-\theta)}[\alpha_{1,b,\theta}(t \wedge b) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(t \wedge b)] dt$$

$$- k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$[\alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u) - \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(u)] - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l - k - \theta$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$'$$

$${}_{1,b,\theta}(u)$$

$$+ 2$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$'$$

$${}_{2,b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2 \alpha'$$

$${}_{1,b,\theta}(u).$$

$$=$$

$$l + k + \theta$$

$$q$$

$$h_{b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$(k + \theta)^2$$

$$q^2 \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l(l + 2k + 2\theta)$$

$$q^2 \gamma_{2,b,\theta}(u)$$

$$+$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$2(k + \theta)$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$'$$

$${}_{1,b,\theta}(u) + 2$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$l$$

$$q$$

$$\alpha$$

$$'$$

$${}_{2,b,\theta}(u) - k_2$$

$$q^2 \alpha'$$

$${}_{1,b,\theta}(u).$$

$$(24)$$

From Eq(24), let $p_{b,\theta}(u) = l+k+\theta$

$$q h_{b,\theta}(u) - h$$

$$'$$

$h_{b,\theta}(u)$, then we have

$$p_{b,\theta}(u) =$$

$$k_2$$

$$q^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (k + \theta)^2 \\
& q^2 \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u) - k^2 \\
& q^2 \\
& l(l + 2k + 2\theta) \\
& q^2 \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(u) + \\
& k^2 \\
& q^2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& \alpha \\
& ' \\
& 1(u) \\
& - 2 \\
& k^2 \\
& q^2 \\
& l \\
& q \\
& \alpha \\
& ' \\
& 2,b,\theta(u) - k^2 \\
& q^2 \alpha'' \\
& 1,b,\theta(u) \\
& = \\
& k^2 \\
& q^2 \\
& \dot{1} \\
& (k + \theta)^2 \\
& q^2 I - 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D + D_2 \\
& \dot{0} \\
& \alpha_{1,b,\theta}(u) \\
& + \\
& k^2 \\
& q^2 \\
& \dot{1} \\
& l(l + 2k + 2\theta) \\
& q^2 I - 2 \\
& l \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \dot{0} \\
& \alpha_{2,b,\theta}(u). \\
& (25)
\end{aligned}$$

So, we can get that the Eq(19), and the boundary condition (20) when $u = b$.

4.1 Linear solution to $V_{b,\theta}(u)$

Theorem 4. In this risk model which satisfying the preceding conditions, there is a fixed dividend boundary b ,

$$V_{b,\theta} = \eta_1 y_{1,\theta}(u) + \eta_2 y_{2,\theta}(u) + \eta_3 y_{3,\theta}(u) + \eta_4 y_{4,\theta}(u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq b \quad (26)$$

where constants $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4$ are solutions to the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_1 y \\
& 1,\theta(b) + \eta_2 y \\
& 2,\theta(b) + \eta_3 y \\
& 3,\theta(b) + \eta_4 y \\
& 4,\theta(b) = \\
& \theta q \\
& k^2 \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_1 y'' \\
& 1.\theta(b) + \eta_2 y'' \\
& 2.\theta(b) + \eta_3 y'' \\
& 3.\theta(b) + \eta_4 y'' \\
& 4.\theta(b) = \\
& \theta_2 \\
& k_2 \\
& (28) \\
& \eta_1 \\
& \dot{y}^{(3)} \\
& y^{(3)} \\
& 1.\theta(b) - k_2 \\
& q_2 D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_1.\theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& \dot{\theta} \\
& +\eta_2 \\
& \dot{y}^{(3)} \\
& y^{(3)} \\
& 2.\theta(b) - k_2 \\
& q_2 D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_2.\theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& \dot{\theta} \\
& +\eta_3 \\
& \dot{y}^{(3)} \\
& y^{(3)} \\
& 3.\theta(b) - k_2 \\
& q_2 D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_3.\theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& \dot{\theta} \\
& +\eta_4 \\
& \dot{y}^{(3)} \\
& y^{(3)} \\
& 4.\theta(b) - k_2 \\
& q_2 D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& y_4.\theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& \dot{\theta} \\
& = \\
& l\theta \\
& k_2 . \\
& (29) \\
& \eta_1 \\
& \dot{y}^{(4)} \\
& y^{(4)} \\
& 1.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u u \\
& 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$y_1, \theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2l$$

$$q$$

$$D$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_1, \theta(b-y)f_2(x) dx$$

$$-k_2$$

$$q_2 D_2$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_1, \theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx$$

$$+ \eta_2$$

$$\ddot{y}$$

$$y^{(4)}$$

$$2, \theta(b) +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2(k + \theta)$$

$$q$$

$$D$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_2, \theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2l$$

$$q$$

$$D$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_2, \theta(b-x)f_2(x) dx$$

$$-k_2$$

$$q_2 D_2$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_2, \theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx$$

$$+ \eta_3$$

$$\ddot{y}$$

$$y^{(4)}$$

$$3, \theta(b) +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2(k + \theta)$$

$$q$$

$$D$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$y_3, \theta(b-x)f_1(x) dx +$$

$$k_2$$

$$q_2$$

$$2l$$

$$q$$

$$D$$

$$\int_0^u$$

$$0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& y_{3,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& q_2 D_2 \\
& \int_0^u \\
& y_{3,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& + \eta^4 \\
& \ddot{y} \\
& y^{(4)} \\
& 4.\theta(b) + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2(k + \theta) \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx + \\
& k_2 \\
& q_2 \\
& 2l \\
& q \\
& D \\
& \int_0^u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_2(x) dx \\
& - k_2 \\
& q_2 D_2 \\
& \int_0^u \\
& y_{4,\theta}(b-x)f_1(x) dx \\
& = 0 \\
& (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It's kind of a theorem 2.

5 An example

In this section, we start with an example. We assume that the r.v. X representing the individual claim amount follows two exponential distribution which affected by a threshold value, with parameter l_1, l_2 , that is, $f_1(x) = l_1 e^{-l_1 x}$, $f_2(x) = l_2 e^{-l_2(x-l)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& -l_1 x, \hat{f}_2(x) = l_2 e^{-l_2(x-l)} \\
& -l_1 x, \hat{f}_1(s) = l_1 e^{-l_1 s} \\
& l_1 + s, \hat{f}_2(s) = l_2 e^{-l_2(s-l)} \\
& l_2 + s. \text{ At first,}
\end{aligned}$$

We find an explicit expression for Taking LTs in both sides of the equation (19) and using Lagrange interpolation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(s) = \\
& Q_{4,\theta}(s) \\
& q_4(l_1 + s)(l_2 + s) \\
& (31)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(s) =$$

$$\tilde{A} s - \delta + l + k$$

$$\tilde{a}_2 \tilde{A}$$

$$s - \delta + k$$

$$q$$

$$\tilde{a}_2$$

$-k_2$

$\frac{q^2}{\tilde{A}}$

$s - \delta + k$

$\frac{q}{\tilde{a}_2}$

$(\hat{f}_1(s) - \hat{f}_2(s))$

$-k_2$

$\frac{q^2}{\tilde{A}}$

$s - \delta + l + k$

$\frac{q}{\tilde{a}_2}$

$\hat{f}_2(s)$

and

$$Q_{4,\delta}(s) = (l_1 + s)(l_2 + s)(\delta + k - qs)^2(\delta + l + k - qs)^2$$

$$-k_2l_1(l_2 + s)(\delta + k - qs)^2 - k_2l_2(l_1 + s)(-2qsl + l_2 + 2l(l + k))$$

Since $Q_{4,\delta}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree 4 and then we have that $Q_{4,\delta}(s) = 0$ has 4 roots in the complex plane, says $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4$ with positive real part and two roots say $-R_i = -R_i(\delta)$, with $Re(R_i) > 0, i = 1, 2$. Setting $l_1 = 3, l_2 = 1, q = 1.5, k = 2, b = 10$, and according to (26), then we have

$$V_b(u) = -0.07113e^{2.7261u} + 0.1583e^{0.8477u} - 0.1595e^{1.9317u} + 0.4365e^{0.6272u}$$

$$+ 0.0823e$$

$$^{-2.0735u} + 0.6327e$$

$$^{-0.4124u}$$

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered a new risk model with a constant dividend barrier, which the claim amount affected by a threshold value. We derived the Gerber-Shiu penalty function at first, and then the integro-differential equation has given. Then the linear solution of the Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function have been figured out. The paper also derived the integro-differential equation and the linear solution of the expected discounted dividend payments function. An example gave too.

Acknowledgements

The author would like express the gratitude to all those who offer great help for this paper and give great thanks to the authors of the reference, thank them for their in-depth research in their field.

References

- [1] M. Boudreault, "Modeling and pricing earthquake risk," Scor Canada Actuarial Prize, 2003.
- [2] A. K. Nikoloulopoulos and D. Karlis, "Fitting copulas to bivariate earthquake data: the seismic gap hypothesis revisited," *Environmetrics*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 251–269, 2008.
- [3] Albrecher, H. & Boxma, O. J. (2004). A ruin model with dependence between claim sizes and claim intervals. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 35, 245-254.
- [4] M. Boudreault. & H. Cossette.(2006). On a risk model with dependence between interclaim arrivals and claim sizes. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 5, 265-285.
- [5] Albrecher, H. & Teugels, J. (2006). Exponential behavior in the presence of dependence in risk theory. *Journal of Applied Probability* 43(1), 257-273.
- [6] Chadjiconstantinid, S. & Vrontos, S. (2012). On a renewal risk process with dependence under a Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copula. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal* 4. 1-34.
- [7] Guan, G. & Hu, X.(2021). On the analysis of a discrete-time risk model with INAR(1) processes. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 1–24.
- [8] De Finetti, B. (1957). Su unimpostazione alternativa dell teoria colletiva delrischio. In: *Transactions of the XV International Congress of Actuaries* 2pp. 433–443.
- [9] D. C. M. Dickson and H. R. Waters(2004) "Some optimal dividends problems" *Astin Bulletin*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 49–74.
- [10] X. S. Lin. & G. E. Willmot. & S. Drekcic.(2003) The classical risk model with a constant dividend barrier: analysis of the Gerber Shiu discounted penalty function. *Insuiance:*

Mathematics and Economics, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 551-566.

[11] Shuanming Li & Jose Garrido(2004). On a class of renewal risk models with a constant dividend barrier. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 35 (2004) 691–701.

[12] Donghai Liu, Zaiming Liu, Dan Peng(2014). The Gerber-Shiu Expected Penalty Function for the Risk Model with Dependence and a Constant Dividend Barrier. Abstract and Applied Analysis. Volume 2014, 1-7.

[13] Zhang Lianzeng & Liu He(2020). On a discrete-time risk model with time-dependent claims and impulsive dividend payments. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal 20. 1-18.