

**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The author did not clearly explain the reasons why he chose to use two VAR models and an error correlation simultaneously. Generally, the VAR model has traditionally become a cause of the appearance of the SVAR, is doubtful where leads to the models an error correlation when it is not stationary and becomes so through a first difference. I would like him to make a clarification on the results which demonstrated the bankruptcy of the VAR used</p> <p>2. Comparison of VAR and DVECM models for modeling COVID-19 related deaths: econometrically, it is the subject that gives one model and the results from this model will give rise to another where a reformulation of the topic. in this work, when I went through it, I saw blood platelets as one of the important variables targeted although there are others. I invite the author to reformulate the subject differently in order to make room for the various brilliant tests which I greatly appreciated.</p> <p>3. keywords: the keywords are generally the essential elements of the subject treated and not tests since the latter can be changed according to the results which can even bring a cancellation of the subject or its reformulation. I invite the author to insert the keywords as necessary although he can maintain the tests which I do not find important as keywords.</p>	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I very much appreciate your sending me this evaluation of the research.</p> <p>The reason for using the VAR vector model and comparing it with the error correction vector DVECM in modeling data is because this procedure was not done by any of the researchers previously utilizing data on the number of deaths due to COVID virus-19. On other hand, the data that was used stabilized at the first difference at (5%) level of significance with the presence of a fixed term or a fixed limit and a general trend or without a fixed limit and without a general trend. Since all the variables are still at the first difference I (1), it can be said that the data are integrated at the first rank, and after making sure that there is no co-integration, the appropriate model for analysis is the autoregressive vector model.</p> <p>For point 2, the author recommends including important variables other than platelets, modelling, studying and analysing them.</p> <p>The data that is available at the present time is the percentage of platelets</p> <p>As for paragraph (3), The name of the test has been removed from the keywords.</p> <p>With best wishes Yours. Ahmed</p>