

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_90849
Title of the Manuscript:	Glomus Tumor of Finger Misdiagnosed as Neuralgia: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Firstly there is no page number to indicate mistakes, it is repetitive, twice or even trice in abstract , introduction and in discussion .In the title there is a tumor diagnosis compared with a symptom neuralgia, several mistakes in grammar or typographical such as either Glomus or Golums?,MRI report is trice used, the long list of references is not necessary as one can obtain it easily on internet by touch of a button, the reference list takes three pages , whilst the case report is half of it; what is " majorly clinical"?Was there histology performed? In summary this is not publishable unless a major rework is considered .	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George M. Weisz
Department, University & Country	University of New England, Sydney