

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_90228
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Morphological Studies of Mango Wood Nanocellulose Fibres
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, attempts have been made to produce Nanocellulose from Mango wood using an environmentally friendly method (Ionic liquid).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">It is well written but the research gap should be delivered in a clearer way with directed necessity for the conducted research. There should be clarity as to why the study is required. Why are they using mango wood since every wood has the potential to give cellulose and subsequently Nanocellulose when properly treated.Many results obtained are interesting but the authors should compare the results with some recent published studies.Title of the paper must be revised to reflect the content of the manuscript. Suggesting " SYTHESIS AND CHARACTERISATION OF MANGO WOOD NANOCELLULOSE FIBRES <p>Abstract: Please add major findings of this work, conclusion and applications. The conclusion should have a future direction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alkali treatment cannot be for the removal of only hemicellulose as stated.The SEM, TEM and TGA of cellulose should also be investigated as they did for XRD and FTIR.	
Minor REVISION comments	General editorial work	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umeileka Chisom C
Department, University & Country	University of Portharcourt, Nigeria