

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90972
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Hours of Exposure to Cement Dust on Cancers markers on Cement Workers in Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add in literature review to show the research gap.</li> <li>2. Reference is number system, but there is a mix of number and author system in citation.</li> <li>3. There are four author system style under references before the number system. Please stick to one style only.</li> <li>4. Should add in control group results in Table 10</li> <li>5. How high is high in "A high level in its serum level is indicated to be linked to melanoma and Merkel cell tumor which are both skin disorders [17]."</li> <li>6. How to see or which value in Table 10 shows "This finding agrees with the work of Salhen (2014) who reported a decrease in antioxidation status parameters among Libyan cement factory workers"? Besides, lower antioxidant status means lower dust decomposition, right? Then, it is contradicting with the finding that there is no significant difference of antioxidant status among cement workers.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	nil	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	nil	

Comment [TKG1]: How high is high?

Comment [TKG2]: Mix citation, while ref is number system

Comment [TKG3]: How about the control group results?

Comment [TKG4]: But yr finding is not significant diff, so seems contradict statement

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kim Gaik Tay
Department, University & Country	University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia