

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90923
Title of the Manuscript:	HIV infection seems to abrogate the protective effect of BCG. A cross sectional descriptive study at the North West Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1/ There is important information provided in the article for incidence of TBC and HIV among vaccinated individuals, chiefly farmers, seu in circumstances very own for the region.</p> <p>2/A detailed descriptive breakdown has been done for study populations.</p> <p>3/ Theoretical analysis was done with alternative explanations for observed outcomes.</p> <p>4/ Information was provided for study participants.</p> <p>1/The aim of the study was set to depict the tbc incidence among bcg vaccinated individuals. As those represented a specific category, comparative analysis could have been offered on non vaccinated individuals, at least on the level of mentioning existing data in the region.</p> <p>2/ If majority of study subjects had marital status, then what was the reason for HIV positivity? Number of sexual partners, sexual habits, breastfeeding, etc. should have been included.</p> <p>3/ While it is not easy to achieve optimal sample size(that would have significantly delayed the publication of paper) , yet sample size calculation could be offered, or mentioned that in subcategories the significance of statistical analysis is questionable due to small sample size.(Length of drinking?). Description is appropriate, but forcing statistical differences on small sized samples is misleading.</p> <p>4/ It is important to emphasize that the population was very specific by occupation, and that environmental factors could worsen pulmonar immunity.</p> <p>5/ A brief description on what effect bcg has on immunity and why this effect limited In HIV population?</p> <p>6/Adding COMORBIDITIES, WEIGHT as modifiers would greatly enhance the publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Due to lack in English language proficiency the intellectual analysis of authors doesn't always come through. It would greatly enhance the publication, if English proofreading assistance was employed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvia Frisancho
Department, University & Country	Slovakia