

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90828
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Fresh Frozen Plasma and Cryoprecipitate in Factor VIII and Factor IX Deficient Hemophilia Patient
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	First of all, the article should be revised by a colleague fluent in English language In introduction with the term hemophilia you refer to hemophilia A and B, however we also use the term hemophilia C or factor XI deficiency All statistical methods should be included in "Methods" and not in the "Results" Details about hemophilia should not be also included in discussion	
Minor REVISION comments	Hemophilia patient and hemophilic → correct to patient with hemophilia	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript present an interesting study concerning a comparison between FFP vs cryoprecipitate transfusion in patients with hemophilia A and B with bleeding episodes. Even if we are in the era of factor concentrates (prophylaxis or on-demand infusions) in low income countries or in hospitals without hemophilia units it is really important to recognize the difference in this treatment approach	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theoni Kanellopoulou
Department, University & Country	Greece