

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_89537
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINING THE PREVAILING EFFECTS AMONG PUBLIC SPACE UTILIZATION FACTORS IN SCIENCE CITY: A STUDY IN CYBERJAYA, MALAYSIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.Need to rewrite "The findings indicated that the accessibility attributes significantly influenced other public space utilization attributes while good quality of public space influenced the comfort derived from it. Attractiveness of public space was found not capable to predict the comfort and good quality of public space. However, public space attractiveness exhibited weak relationship with quality of public space while other attributes shown strong relationship with each other."</p> <p>2.Recheck and rewrite "In Figure 3, the model analysis result reflect that accessibility (ACC) and comfort derived in public space (CTP) enjoyed strong relationship with other public space attributes having demonstrated a practically significant correlation path coefficient of approximately ≥ 0.2 with other attributes. Public space attractiveness (ATP) and quality of publicspace (QTP) exhibited weak correlation path coefficient relationship of 0.03 that below the marginal and acceptable significant level(Cohen, 1992a; 1992b)."</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Burachat Chatveera
Department, University & Country	Thammasat University, Thailand