

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_90812
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of seasonal variations in haematology, biochemical, immunity constituents in Mugil cephalus, Chano chanos, and Arius arius on Southeast Coast of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In your future endeavour for aquatic study, I would suggest that determine the water parameters since this is the primary component for fish condition. Also conduct correlation analysis between fish haematology and water samples. This could further validate the claim for your data presented. Results and Discussion: In your data presentation highlights the number which has significant difference (<0.05) by marking asterisk (*) and indicate notes below your table. This is easy for the readers to see the parameters that constitute important details. Conclusion: When writing this portion, don't start by saying " In conclusion" rather than " This study was undertaken to determine... something like that, then highlights the result of the study conducted. Its implication towards the subjected fish and to the environment like wise.	
Optional/General comments	The study is well presented and it is good for publications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cresencio C Cabuga
Department, University & Country	Aclc College of Butuan, Philippines