

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_90688
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Heating Duration on the Preference Level of Pindang Presto Indian Mackerel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's Comment on 'The Effect of Heating Duration on the Preference Level of Pindang Presto Indian Mackerel' Abstract: the aim of the research was hinted as well as the key elements of the study. The only drawback is the lack of coherence in the use of tenses in reporting the abstract. The Authors should endeavor to report in the past tense. Introduction: the introduction captured clearly what is already known about the topic with well-reviewed, recently cited and relevant aspects of the work but again failed to be consistent in the use of tenses throughout the introductory area of the study. Materials and Methods: The methodology was clear and the design was mentioned. Results: The result of the organoleptic table was displayed but the tables/figures on the proximate composition/calcium test are missing. Discussion: OK. Conclusion: The authors answered key objective questions of the study and the paper should be accepted with minimal corrections. References: relevant and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher O. Ezike
Department, University & Country	Enugu State University of Science Technology, Nigeria