

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_90494
Title of the Manuscript:	Demand Factors Analysis of Catfish Processed Products (A Case Study of Catfish Salad Stall in Ujungberung Subdistrict, Bandung City)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript needs to be restructured. Avoid quoting authors only in one way. We did not perceive the validity of the research and the perspectives. Similarly, the added value of research is not well proven. The guidelines for the presentation of a manuscript given by the journal must be respected. Review the presentation of the bibliographic reference	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Variations in the statement on the research period (summary and method) The research environment is not well presented. Activities, population, climate, etc. are not mentioned. The reasons for use by people under the age of 12 are not well explained. To avoid. First you have your own and then in another section titled "Discussion", you discuss your results and compare them to those of others. It is necessary to explain why; it is the employees of the private sector and the students who pay more the salad... Review the chronological numbering of authors. You must begin the numbering with the first author and so on until the end. If an author is cited several times, the same order in the text is maintained for the first citation. The same way of citing authors must be respected (for example: Sukirno 2008) It is necessary to explain why, it is the employees of the private sector and the students who buy more the catfish salad... The importance of this aspect that you have analyzed is not well understood. State clearly the level of study can influence the choice of food. The results based on correlation test are not well explained. As a result, we do not understand your ideas. You must then explain your results and draw a conclusion to better inform the readers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mouzoun Séraphin
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin