

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_90323
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Addition of soybean oil, coconut oil and corn oil to commercial feed on the growth of red tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. The text has a lot of grammatical errors 2. The introduction section is very short and disjointed 3. The results are poorly presented, and there is no reference to the figures and tables in the text 4. Data presented in the tables has not been presented as averages	1. The author need to engage services of an English language reviewer to proof read the text before submission. 2. The author needs to re-write the introduction 3. Results should be presented in averages and the Table and Figures should be mentioned in the narrative. 4. Data in the table should be presented as averages	
Minor REVISION comments The write up is not coherent because it lacks consistence	The whole write up should be re-written with coherence	
Optional/General comments The author presents a very important study concept, however, this has not been well presented in the write up	The author need to re-write the whole document to properly bring out the intended message	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Namulawa Victoria Tibenda
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Organization, Uganda