

SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I noted that most of the reviewer recommendations were not replied especially the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In Figure 1. Diagram of Red Tilapia Biomass. I recommend clarifying that they are 1, 2 ... 62. The author need to engage services of an English language reviewer to proof read the text before submission.3. The author needs to re-write the introduction4. Results should be presented in averages and the Table and Figures should be mentioned in the narrative.5. Data in the table should be presented as averages6. Additionally, experimental design in not clear, since authors do not mention the individual number by treatment, or the replicas numbers. Statistical analyses are mentioned in Methods but in results are not mentioned, then conclusions are not supported. Most of data (averages) are lack of deviation values (SD). Axis of figure 1 can be cut near fo the presented values. Figure 3 is unnecessary.	<p>3. I think the introduction I use is better that way, like the one I used in my final project\</p> <p>5. for water quality in my opinion it is better to use a range of values</p> <p>6. i have added stdev and also close the data on x axis. For picture 3, I think it still has to be added because in my method I include data and graphs</p>