

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_90408
Title of the Manuscript:	Principals' Effective Practice of Promoting School Achievement at High Performing Secondary Schools Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article provides a picture of how Malaysian Education functions. Nevertheless, grammar and writing style revisions are needed (highlighted in red and blue on the article). Author/s don't show in a clear way preliminary ideas/hypotheses or argumentation that could be helpful for the reader concerning the research theme. It would be a good practice to provide information regarding Malaysia's educational system and how the research impacts (if it does) Malaysia Development Plan 2030. Regarding the methodological tool, it is unclear if it is a validated instrument, or the pilot test the research team conducted before its application.	
Minor REVISION comments	Considering the kind of questions that the research team provided, and the impact in a delicate scenario as the educational field a thorough description of Ethical considerations are requested.	
Optional/General comments	A global reading of the article shows the degree of commitment of the researchers to the subject matter and its importance to the country. However, considering that the publication is part of an international journal, it would be convenient to indicate the way in which the research could be constituted as an input at the international level. Introduction Conclusion Ethical concerns	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ursula Serdarevich
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de la Matanza, Argentina