

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_89617
Title of the Manuscript:	TREATMENT OF MEN CHARACTERS TOWARDS WOMEN IN THE CANTERBURY TALES AND THE ARABIAN NIGHTS
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract is a summary of the contents of a scientific paper which is intended to help a reader to quickly and easily see the purpose of an article. Abstract must be interpreted as brief summaries of research and are often used to help readers determine the purpose of a paper quickly. Your abstract doesn't describe it, it still mentions details and explanations. write in the abstract about the objectives, methods and results of your research.2. Your keywords are too many, choose 4 or 5 only. keyword writing should use all lowercase3. Your research methodology is too long, make it more concise and focus on how you did this research, state what research design you chose, who the research subjects were, how the steps were collected for data and how the data were analyzed. your writing on methodology does not focus on methodology, but also discusses content outside of methodology.4. Your results and discussion are still in the form of data collection results. you have not discussed the data data. table 3 consists of 13 pages, all table formats. it's not possible for an article. you must classify the data so that it becomes more concise and easy to understand. table 4 is also too long, 11 pages. please classify briefly.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please revise the abstract, keywords, methodology, results and discussion	
Optional/General comments	Minor revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanik Mahliatussikah, M.Hum
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia