

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_90424
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Fundamental Performance and Market Performance of the Property, Real Estate, and Construction Sector on the Impact of Covid-19
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>In the study, the authors compared the ROA and Tobin's Q values of 53 companies in Indonesia with the Wilcoxon sign test between 2018 and 2020. This test technique is a non-parametric comparative test technique that adheres to the assumption that the samples are not normally distributed. In other words, this test confirms whether the samples were taken from the main population. Normality tests were also performed correctly in the study. According to the results obtained, the series do not show normal distribution. Therefore, the test technique used is suitable for statistical analysis of the study.</p> <p>In the study, the author compared the performances of property, real estate, and construction sector firms before and after the Covid period. For the comparison parameter, they chose the financial statement-based ROA and the market-based Tobin's Q values. A literature review has been made and the analysis processes are statistically correct. The results obtained and the literature were compared, and only the explanations of the ROA parameter causing a statistically significant difference are included in the result.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eşref Savaş Başcı
Department, University & Country	Hitit University, Turkey