

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_88433
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRACTUAL BUDGETING AS A TOOL FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The title of the research is very attractive. However, there are a lot of things that need to be addressed before the publication.</li> <li>2) The abstract part at least should include the introduction, motivation of the study, methods of the study, conclusion and recommendation of further improvement. Moreover the abstract part should be unstructured and concluded within one single paragraph.</li> <li>3) Writing style needs revision: follow the standard font style and size</li> <li>4) The methodological part is not that much clear, particularly the data analysis and interpretation part</li> <li>5) The analysis part needs also to be comprehensive and informative.</li> <li>6) Special attention should be given to language proficiency</li> <li>7) The theoretical framework of the study lack explanation; the author/s should discuss about this</li> <li>8) The author/s should cite relevant literatures used by the study</li> <li>9) Recommendations sound good, but the conclusions needs revision</li> <li>10) The reference part should follow standard format</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11) Use the recent and updated empirical studies</li> <li>12) The author should have discussed the empirical studies conducted on Similar topics in other developing countries</li> <li>13) The Theoretical literature review is weak, it needs to be improved</li> <li>14) The author should refer theories whenever discussing the results</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adisu Abebaw Degu
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia