

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_89501
Title of the Manuscript:	POST-PCI CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN OLDER ADULTS OR LOW WEIGHT PATIENTS WITH REDUCED DOSE PRASUGREL IN COMPARISON TO CLOPIDOGREL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please explain the inclusion criteria of 50-60kg for prasugrel group. It seem the method of study has a major possibility of bias, where author had included any weight for aspirin group but excluded patient more than 60kg and less than 50kg. if as such, it will be scientifically unsound to conclude or compare between both aspirin vs prasugrel group as the study population is a different population. Kindly explain. As the author include all the younger and weight more than 60kg in the prasugrel group and the aspirin group. There is major flaw in the study design as per the objective and topic. If the author wishes to study "POST-PCI CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN OLDER ADULTS OR LOW WEIGHT PATIENTS WITH REDUCED DOSE PRASUGREL IN COMPARISON TO CLOPIDOGREL", he should only include those with older or low weight patient in the group of prasugrel AND aspirin. The author can either change the topic or redesign the study. Else, it is major scientific flaw in the method which not suitable for publication. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> "For patients having weight less than 60 kg and/or age greater than or equal to 75 years there is an increased risk of bleeding and hence reduced dose prasugrel 5 mg following a loading dose of 60 mg has been considered to be a better option for better clinical outcomes." Please include the reference for this statement. Please clarify the objective with more detail, as example, the primary endpoint or secondary endpoint. Please mention how is the number of "100" subjects generated. Is it based on any calculation? Please also include the power of study calculation For the method of study, how is the patient randomised into aspirin or prasugrel group? Is it a double blinded or single blinded trial? Or is it by using computer based randomization? Please explain. Please use the correct ratio, as ex gender 27:21, should be 1.3:1 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Minor grammar and spacing error Please remove the numbering before each subtopic Please limit and standardized the decimal point 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kim Heng Shee
Department, University & Country	Okamura Memorial Hospital, Japan