

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_90664
Title of the Manuscript:	Urinary tract endometriosis: Surgical perspective
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)				
Compulsory REVISION comments	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Surgical procedure</td> <td>Choice of patient OR Selection criteria for specific operation</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Amend table 3: Please mention the <u>selection criteria</u> for each procedure. As a reader I would be interested in selection criteria of patient for SPECIFIC procedures</p> <p>2: Please use arrows in Fig 1 for the findings 3: Give description of histological figure 3 otherwise mean nothing.</p>	Surgical procedure	Choice of patient OR Selection criteria for specific operation			
Surgical procedure	Choice of patient OR Selection criteria for specific operation					
Minor REVISION comments						
Optional/General comments	<p>Not required :Historical background is good for textbooks but not for case reports etc Personally suggest to remove these lines This disease was first described by Daniel Shroen in 1690 in the work "Disputatio Inauguralis Medica de Ulceribus Ulceri". J.A. Sampson introduced the term "endometriosis" into medical nomenclature in 1927</p> <p>Comment 2: I would suggest Table 1 and 2 are not needed as this case report is mainly written from surgical point of view</p>					

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junaid Rafi
Department, University & Country	Ipswich Hospital Nhs Trust, United Kingdom