

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_90577
Title of the Manuscript:	Migrated feeding tube; a rare complication of distal feeding enteroclysis
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It is an interesting case report as the majority of cases of distal stomal refeeding pertain infants In the introduction a few words should be entered about the main causes of proximal enterectomy in adults and the difficulties with parenteral nutrition Aferal the authors have tried TPN initially and failed It is interesting to know if they have tried randevous technique with the endoscopist before removing the foreign body by opening the bowel. Authors should stress that the technique has been recently introduced and that there are now special materials thus folley catheters are used. Also they should enter a few words about side effectes related to their technique.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	A few grammatical errors should be corrected All abbreviations should be explained in their first appearance in the text The last paragraph of the introduction should be rewritten since it is not clear enough and the reader should continue to the case to understand what it is going on	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece