

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_85388
Title of the Manuscript:	HEPATOPROTECTIVE EFFECT OF METHANOL STEM BARK EXTRACT OF <i>Diospyros mespiliformis</i> AGAINST CARBON TETRACHLORIDE INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address. 2) Section should include proper sub-sections for easy understanding. 3)similar format should be followed throughout for lettering in BOLD to sections, subsections etc 3) LD <sub>50</sub> of standard taken should be mentioned. 4) Positive and negative controls which were in tabular form should be analysed with reasons that might increase or decrease in values. 5) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be discussed. 6) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed. 7) <b>Conclusions section should be included which</b> briefly state the major findings of the study. 8) A brief acknowledgment section is needed. 9) Check author guidelines for declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS,CONSENT,ETHICAL APPROVAL. 10) References should follow the style as per manuscript guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1)In methodology, study area and sample collection should be with different numberings which is highlighted green . 2) Superscripts and subscripts should be proper.. 3) Paragraph spacing should be properly adjusted. Word et al should be in italics 4)Scientist name should be In capitals. Eg: Pasteur 5)Paragraph was also being kept bold along with title in 3.1.which should not be done and similar format should be followed. 6) Spacing between words should be properly checked.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1) Highlighted yellow-already corrected. 2) Highlighted green-need to be corrected.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi
Department, University & Country	SVRK Government Degree College, India