

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_83851
Title of the Manuscript:	GC-MS ANALYSIS AND ANTIPLASMODIAL POTENTIALS OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS PRESENT IN METHANOLIC AND ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF DANIELLA OLIVERI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The references need to be updated, most are old The reference section lacks uniform presentation: Many different ways are used. The authors must use only one standardized way of referencing. The new compounds should have been specified, instead it is only mentioned saponin, phenol etc The structure could have been elucidated by different means like UV, FTIR, NMR, XRD, Raman. Similar molecules could have been presented in detail from previous works The uses of the medicinal plant could have been depicted in detail related to its components. The presentation is superficial. The method of maceration is indeed well-known and used in many investigations like in Bloukh et al. work from 2021, Molecules. They used also ethanol. Ethanol seems to be superior to methanol and further mixtures between alcohols and/ or water.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Small mistakes in English grammar	
Optional/General comments	The authors presented an interesting medicinal plant. It may have important uses as future drug component. Therefore, a more detailed presentation of the results and deeper discussion was needed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<u>Zehra Edis</u>
Department, University & Country	<u>Ajman University, UAE</u>