

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_83851
Title of the Manuscript:	GC-MS ANALYSIS AND ANTIPLASMODIAL POTENTIALS OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS PRESENT IN METHANOLIC AND ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF DANIELLA OLIVERI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The manuscript need English editing - Do not repeat the abbreviation for the same word eg. GCMS in the abstract is mentioned twice - How could you decide that one extract is better than the other only by the number of compounds detected ? you must test the activity of those extracted compounds - Use more precise keywords related to the main points in the article - Cite Daniell (1859) properly - Mention the Family of the plant then the subfamily in the classification - Add paragraph at the end of the introduction to declare the aim and the novelty of the study - Use the abbreviation for <i>Daniella oliveri</i> (<i>D. oliveri</i>) throughout the text don't mention the full name again and again - No any statistics were found in the text !! and how many replica did you used to confirm the obtained results of the study ? -How could you determine if the difference in the extracts ratio is significant or not - Table 3 is miss formatted - In reference number 36 add the year. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman H. Zaghloul
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Oceanography & Fisheries (NIOF), Egypt