

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_90600
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM ASSESSMENT OF LEARNERS: ASSESSMENT PRACTICES OF JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES TEACHERS IN TWO DISTRICTS OF GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In page 13, the indicated percentage of respondents preferring using letter grade to mark student works is 67% but such figure is inconsistent with what is shown in Table 2. Written in the same page is the line "Theoretically, the teachers preferred using the two traditional assessment techniques (Marks, and Letter grades) as compared to the alternative techniques such as written comments and teaching diary (M=4.90). It is not clear as to which the indicated mean refers. Also, such mean is not is not shown in table 2.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I suggest to reverse the presentation of qualitative data findings based on the order of data gathering. Start with the interview data results then proceed to the observation data results. Minor revision only. The paper is generally good in terms of technicality, relevance and rigor.	

Comment [JR1]: Where is this M=4.90 from?

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juvy S. Reyes
Department, University & Country	Notre Dame of Marbel University, Philippines