

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90863
Title of the Manuscript:	The Profile characteristics and the level of job satisfaction of the scientists working in SKRAU and RAJUVAS Bikaner, Rajasthan.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Revised the whole section</li> <li>2. Include the design used for the study</li> <li>3. Reduce the number of words to below 300 words</li> <li>4. Provide appropriate keywords to reflect the key issues in the study</li> </ol> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The justification for your study is too weak, look for a stronger gap to support the study</li> <li>2. Provide a theoretical framework to support the study. Give a clear cut theory to support your gap</li> </ol> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <p>Provide the research design adopted for the study, data collection procedure, data analysis procedure</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Provide key to the tables in the study</li> <li>2. A table with the questionnaire, percentages of responds to them, and the respective mean and standard deviation should be provided to support the results interpretation.</li> <li>3. The discussion of the study should be improved by relating previews studies</li> </ol> <p><b>Reference</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is no intext citation within the study. Why?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Without the intext citations the work is deformed	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evans Atteh
Department, University & Country	Wiawso College of Education, Ghana