

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90847
Title of the Manuscript:	INFORMATION ACQUISITION BEHAVIOUR OF TURMERIC FARMERS IN PAPPIREDDIPATTI TALUK OF DHARMAPURI DISTRICT IN TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract is an executive summary of the entire study. It should contain all the vital aspects and major findings and some recommendations. The abstract needs to be re-written. The introduction is too small and needs to be expanded. The study area should come under the research methodology and should not be part of the introduction. The research methodology should be divided into study area, sample size and sampling technique; and method of data collection (it must be re-worded). The author needs to read all the work all over again and fix all the grammatical errors; he/she should know where to use capital letter or small letter in a sentence. References were not cited in the introduction and some of the findings under results and discussion were not backed up with findings of other researchers on related studies I highlighted some of the major areas in the main text.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abbreviations in the table should be written in full otherwise state their meaning at the base of the table. References that are not complete must be completed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abbas, Asiru Monday
Department, University & Country	University of Abuja, Nigeria