

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90402
Title of the Manuscript:	Research Productivity of Tamilnadu Agricultural University as reflected in Scopus Database: A Scientometric Dimension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The text format of the paper is scribbled. Such as, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University or Tamilnadu Agricultural University , many words emphasized, utilizing, analyzed, etc. which need to be modified, and the usage is consistent. Others, regarding the format of the table, it is recommended to use a three-line table.	
Minor REVISION comments	The research productivity of a university can be viewed from multiple dimensions, but the weight of each dimension may be different. It is recommended to adopt a weighted formula for calculation and analysis, so that the comparisons between universities can be made.	
Optional/General comments	The article thorough several listed tables of the (TNAU) university's documents publications, collaborative institutions, international distributions, researching subjects, and contributors, to analyze scientometric dimensions of research productivity of the institution. It shows that the research is not in-depth enough.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wang Jian
Department, University & Country	Agricultural University of Hebei, P.R.China