

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90153
Title of the Manuscript:	Soybean based Cropping Systems in Central India: Production Growth and Instability Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The paper lacks the issue statement that is core to any research paper, otherwise the paper will be without backbone.</li><li>Theory and limitation the tools used to estimate the data is also lacking</li><li>The methodology is shallow and lack the explanation of quality of data source and some mechanisms of data treatment for quality, especially when they are secondary.</li><li>Recommendations and impact of such research on the body of knowledge and agriculture sector must be added in the papers</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Rigorous tools to measure the trend and growth of soybean production is also required than mere and simplest descriptive statistical tool.</li><li>Lack of scientific references to back the evidences found by the author</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Structured well the paragraphs Introduction of the competitive crops like chickpea and wheat needs to be introduced	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndayitwayeko Willy Marcel
Department, University & Country	University of Burundi, Burundi