

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90153
Title of the Manuscript:	Soybean based Cropping Systems in Central India: Production Growth and Instability Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The topic of research article chosen by author is quite relevant and showed the trends of area, production and productivity of soybean in Madhya Pradesh. 2. In table No.1 check the growth rate of Indore district of Chick pea crop.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. In Table No.3 Paradox of growth instability in production of soybean crop, to check all districts are not mentioned as shown in Table No.1. 2. The conclusion must be based on results of study. In conclusion it is mentioned that, the productivity of soybean is not increased over time period of three decades even though huge investment is done on Research & Development. In results there is no mention the how much investment on R& D. Therefore, reconstruct the conclusion because there are several factors affects on productivity of soybean and R & D is one of them.	
Optional/General comments	The author has analysed three decades data which quite sufficient and appropriate tools has applied to obtained the good results. The results of these articles definitely help to policy makers as well as students, research scientist for future strategy. Overall performance of article is excellent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.S.Kumbhar
Department, University & Country	M.P.K.V., India