

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_88871
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of burning crop residues and Soils drowned in water on the population of Thiobacillus spp. bacterial and Trichoderma spp. fungus in fields
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This manuscript could not be accepted as it is; many MAJOR corrections were detected and needed before being accepted for publication. - The title could be changing to the following one: Effects of burning crop residues and drenched the soil with water on the populations of the bacteria, <i>Thiobacillus</i> spp. and the fungus, <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. in the open fields. -All corrections needed were mentioned in their location in the attached file. -In the materials and methods part, item: 3.4.1: The method of isolating fungi needs to rewrite in the corrected matter, considering the different ratios edited. -Results in Table (3) need to be revised, because of: There are no differences between control and burning crop residues, on one hand, and between the control treatment and the soil drenched in water treatment too. Consequently, the discussion will be changing. -F-values must be added in all tables, as well as the standard error (SE), as shown in all tables. -Significant letters must be added too in all tables. -Three references are missed, which were mentioned in the body text and not found in the reference list. -Two references were corrected in the reference list. -Finally, the discussion needs more enhancements. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Ahmed Gesraha
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt