

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_90805
Title of the Manuscript:	HYPOTHESIS OF NOMADIC WIRELESS APPARATUSES GENERATING ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES THAT ARE ACCELERATING GLOBAL WARMING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript needs major revision. It did not design based on the systematic research articles. I want to ask the authors: What is the design of this study? I suggest the following comments should be addressed before considering this paper for publication: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title and abstract DOSE NOT cover the main aspect of the work.2. The introduction section did not provide background and information relevant to the study.3. I miss the methods section. The methods did not clear and replicable. All the results presented DID NOT match the methods suggested.4. The study did not provide an advance in the field. The data WAS NOT plausible.5. What about the limitations and conclusion???6. The author has provided figures and the figures did not clear and legible. It needs a declaration whether is it taken from a resource or is produced by the authors.7. The manuscript needs significant formatting and modification. Please pay attention to the scientific formulation of the sentences. Could the authors rewrite and review the manuscript completely?	
Minor REVISION comments	Pay attention to write the references according to the guidelines of the journal.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eqbal Sufyan Radwan
Department, University & Country	Islamic University of Gaza, Palestine