

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_90481
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE AND LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT OF PEER RESEARCHERS IN SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS: A REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I have reviewed article entitled "Role And Level Of Engagement Of Peer Researchers In Systematic Reviews: A Review Article" Ms_AIR_90481, as an accepted for publication with minor revisions. Authors did intellectual and good work. . I appreciate the hard work done by researcher. However the research and findings are interesting. Researchers did hard work on it with honesty. Therefore here is not any major revision in this manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the whole manuscript formatting and editing is required. Change the whole in Times New Roman. Delete four headings, Background, Methods, Results and Conclusion from the abstract. Write the whole abstract in one paragraph. Write Objectives and Research Questions separately. Over all methodology of article is good. Justify all paragraphs of the whole article in Microsoft words.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Revisit all the references in references and write all references in APA style and in A-Z.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Masaud Asdaque
Department, University & Country	Al-Khair University, Pakistan