

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_90481
Title of the Manuscript:	Role and level of engagement of peer researchers in systematic reviews: A review article
Type of the Article	Grounded theory

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author has to pinpoint the significance of the study, why this kind of study is needed. I'm afraid the author does not or has not strongly mentioned the significance of the study and the pedagogical contribution of the study.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Listyani
Department, University & Country	Indonesia