

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_90435
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Education for Sustainable Development on the Palestinian Economy and the Behavior of Business Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table 4 - Contribution of Variables to Factors (%)</p> <p>Table 5 - Summary Statistics of Output Variables</p> <p>Table 6 - Goodness-of-fit Statistics</p> <p>Table 7 - Test of the Null Hypothesis</p> <p>Table 8 - Parameters for Total Agreement Status</p> <p>Table 9 - Parameters for Total Agreement Status</p> <p>Table 10 - Parameters for Total Agreement Status</p> <p>Etc.</p> <p>Need to verify the authors data/calculation for validating the originality of the work.</p> <p>If above mentioned values are validated with original data calculation, then the comments can as below,</p> <p>Good research paper. In-depth study is conducted with step-by-step analysis with well-known methods for accepting/validating the useful data and rejecting unwanted data using tests like KMO and Bartlett test, etc. Choosing Eigenvalues greater than one is always better for determining the number of factors as per Kaiser Criterion, though one of the values chosen is near to one, which can be accepted.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Need confirmation on originality of the data/calculations, as mentioned in the above review comments	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> -----	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhu C Basarkod
Department, University & Country	Bangalore University, India