

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_90251
Title of the Manuscript:	Entropic Information Theory:The Entangled Informational Universe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In page 5 the equation I did underline in Red the bracket was not closed it is an unfinished formula	
Minor REVISION comments	Redundancy about the references which lead to a such number of references. Some words as I underlined in yellow should be replaced as I suggested some samples in the original manuscript. Some equations should be rewritten to be uniformized for example: the equation in page 6 of the original manuscript In the page 15 the two lines I highlighted in yellow should be justified left as the rest of the text It is better to review the abstract as it's English is a bit poor (I did give some examples I highlighted in yellow and I highlighted the proposed words in green	
Optional/General comments	I would also rather ask to index the pages for review This manuscript ahs logical succussion of ideas the author knew how to mix difference theories with clear sentences. The analysis was correct and founded.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omar El Outassi
Department, University & Country	Moulay Ismail, Morocco