

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_90251
Title of the Manuscript:	Entropic Information Theory: The Entangled Informational Universe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled, " Entropic Information Theory:The Entangled Informational Universe " is very amazingly organized. After revising some important comments listed below, I recommend this paper for publication	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract the Authors cited references. But Abstract is summary of the script. Why they put citation? 2. In introduction part what is "information" why this subtitle needed? 3. Introduction part it is better to use recent citations with order. 4. Methodology is not briefly stated. Please could you make it clear? 5. Using old information is not desirable. As a reviewer I suggest to use new reported works with respect to their findings specially (2019-2022) 6. Comparisons are missed. Under Result authors should compare their results with previously reported. 7. Revise conclusion with clear sentences and give directions for your findings. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abel Saka Gungure
Department, University & Country	Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia