

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_88314
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NEAR-EARTH SURFACE TEMPERATURE ON SOIL TEMPERATURE AT 5 CM DEPTH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. You should consider similar articles and compare them with your suggested research and state them in the introduction.</li> <li>2. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables and figures.</li> <li>3. There should be a comparison of results with other analyses described in the introduction. The discussion should take into consideration results from other articles. The differences between own and other analyses should be explained.</li> <li>4. The discussion of the results is poor. Results and discussion requires scientific reason.</li> <li>5. In the Conclusion section, compare and contrast the results of your research with similar Original Research Articles.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This research is writing in 2010 - 2011 ,while being presented in 2022. Considering climate change and rising temperatures and possibly changing temperature effects on the underlying soil layers with another pattern , it is better to conduct practical research now?</li> <li>2. The n index is laid out in two formulas 2 and 3 in a paper and explains two different concepts in the description, please consider a different index for two formulas 2 and 3.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text.</li> <li>2. The references are incorrectly cited in the whole manuscript.</li> <li>3. Please correct the article in the form of journal articles template.</li> <li>4. Correct the article writing font to the journal template.</li> <li>5. Bring the scientific resource for all formulas mentioned in the article</li> </ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Massome Alibaba
Department, University & Country	University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran