

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_90866
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of Hashimoto's thyroiditis on differentiated thyroid cancers
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A mini-review provides a brief overview of recent advances in the specified subject area. The author has given only an introduction, extensive, to the topic followed by a conclusion. There are no brief sections on the methodology of the minireviews and discussion of the various findings and recent advances from the review of the various articles.	
Minor REVISION comments	The sentences are long and often confusing in terms of grammar and in the message conveyed. Please rephrase In abstract it is mentioned that current studies were reviewed – is there a time frame. Is there an ethical clearance from your institution. What is the data from your institution	
Optional/General comments	A review requires the authors to first find a deficiency in literature and to search existing literature including databases for articles on the topic. The retrieved results are sifted through to look for similarity and differences in findings. Tables may be made to highlight these results from various studies pertaining to the objective. A mini-review provides a brief overview of recent advances in the specified subject area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riju Ramachandran
Department, University & Country	Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, India