

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89544
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of Gestational Thrombocytopenia in pregnant OPD patients: Study in a tertiary care Hospital.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The ABSTRACT misses an introduction sentences. It's not necessary to repeat the objective and the purpose.</p> <p>First time you mention an abbreviation, you should write the full name of it throughout the whole text (such as OPD, CBC, SLE, ITP, LSCS etc.).</p> <p>Gestational Thrombocytopenia is written differently. You should write it the same way.</p> <p>In the INTRODUCTION: SI Reference range of platelets 150-400x10⁹/L. You should use the same measurement unit in the whole text.</p> <p>In the RESULTS: Tables and Figures are not noted in the text.</p> <p>The results are divided by age, status of gravida, mode of delivery, complications and once are used CAPS LOCK, the other time – bold text, it should be the same structured.</p> <p>When you mention percentage data, you should observe out of what is the exact percent.</p> <p>In the DISUSSION: The citations are mentioned by 3 different ways – such as 1, [1], ¹</p> <p>Citations should be written the same way in the whole text.</p> <p>In the CONCLUSION: the final sentences should be written according to the theme of the article. This conclusion is not about the incidence of GT. The conclusion of the abstract is more appropriate.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Too much repeat the same words in following sentences.</p> <p>Use synonyms.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is interesting about the incidence of GT in pregnant women, but still too many corrections are needed.</p> <p>The references are well-done written.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yanitsa Davidkova
Department, University & Country	Bulgaria