

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_90425
Title of the Manuscript:	Social studies students and teachers' perception of Social Studies subject - the case of selected Senior High Schools (SHS) in Tamale Metropolis of northern Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is good in terms of argument and writing strategies. The introduction contains good idea of what the author actually did address in the rest of the manuscript. The conclusion offers closure to the manuscript while emphasising the main highlights. The main points are sufficiently developed. The data appear appropriate for testing the authors hypothesis. The methods used provide useful contribution to the literature. The author has clear research questions, use appropriate methods and the data are suitable to provide answers to the research questions. This manuscript is appropriate for publication.	
Minor REVISION comments	Most references are outdated (beyond five years). Though relevant, the literature cited needs to be current, not older than 5 years.	
Optional/General comments	The author has excellent mastery of strategies used in research from abstract to conclusion with appropriate flow of ideas.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nelly Ngugi Thurania
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya