

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_90425 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Social studies students and teachers' perception of Social Studies subject - the case of selected Senior High Schools (SHS)in Tamale Metropolis of northern Ghana |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Title: The words "social studies" in the title are redundant. Please omit the words "social studies" before "students".</p> <p>Introduction: The paper is interesting. For the improvement of the writing, may i advise the author to do the following: 1. Please adhere to proper Vancouver style when doing a narrative citation. You may benchmark from AJESS sample articles. 2. Please provide varied citations to support the discussion/presentation of first and second paragraphs. This is to ensure that the backgrounder being presented is scientific. 3. The conditions are well-presented. However, the author missed to discuss the gap in the study. May i suggest him/her to discuss the gap in the study to establish the novelty of this research. Perhaps, he/she can indicate this prior to the rationale or objective of the study. 4. Better to state the research problems/objectives in a paragraph manner to clearly present the coherence of the discussion. 5. The incorporation of the research questions that guided the study in the last section of the introduction is not necessary; please omit.</p> <p>Research Methodology 1. Please indicate what sampling method/s were used to derive at the samples of both the teachers and students. Please also incorporate in the discussion the recruitment of these respondents as to how they were objectively chosen. 2. Were these instruments validated and reliability tested? Please incorporate in the discussion the validity and reliability results along with the tools used. 3. Please expound on the operationalization of frequencies and percentages in the discussion.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: 1. The reiteration of the objective in the first paragraph of this section is not necessary since the objectives were already presented in the introduction part; Please omit and proceed right away to the presentation of the results and discussion. 2. In 3.1 (please see 4th to the last paragraph; last two sentences), please provide citation/s in these statements to support your claim/argument. 3. Regarding the subject-matter knowledge of teachers and students on social studies (3.2), the author only presented the results. There should be a support discussion to justify the analysis and interpretation of these results. For the improvement of the writing, may I suggest the author to incorporate the following in the discussion: A. Analysis and interpretation of the results B. Probable attribution/reasons for the emergence of the results. C. Support literature/findings cited by authors D. Implications based on the cited literature/findings</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion should not be a repetition of the results/findings of the study. This should be a summary of the implications of the findings which will serve as the author's contribution to the new body of knowledge. With this, may i suggest the author to focus the conclusion on the implications of the findings. Please also incorporate here the limitation of the study and its implication and direction for future research</p> <p>Recommendations: Please include recommendation for future researchers.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>General Recommendations: 1. Please subject the paper to professional editor to address grammar issues. 2. Please adhere to Vancouver style of writing. Benchmark from AJESS published article samples.</p> | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Joel M. Bual |
| Department, University & Country | University of Negros Occidental-Recoletos, Philippines |