

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_89881
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF PROBLEM BASED LEARNING APPROACH ON STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE IN SOLVING MATHEMATICAL PROBLEMS UNDER CIRCLES (GEOMETRY)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript addresses a very interesting topic that may have significant practical implications in helping pupils learn mathematics. Still, certain issues should be resolved to qualify this to be accepted for publication. One important suggestion is to rewrite the study arguments sharper by using past research findings and practical implications. A second issue is with reporting the novel study findings. Thirdly, highlight the novel findings of the study. Also, suggest the implications of the current study findings for other subjects. To better understand the significance of the difference between pre and post-measures, the use of a t-test or ANOVA is suggested. Nothing can be concluded only based on mean and SD.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In many places, grammatical inaccuracies were observed. I suggest a thorough language editing of the whole manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Taken together the author(s) chose an important study topic. Overall the technical structure of the manuscript is ok.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gyanesh Kumar Tiwari
Department, University & Country	Doctor Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, India