

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_87206
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the nutritional quality and therapeutic virtues of cassava leaves infected by the mosaic virus and healthy cassava leaves in the Central African Republic .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Suggested title: Study of the nutritional quality and therapeutic virtues of mosaic virus infected and healthy cassava leaves in the Central African Republic</p> <p>Other comments: Abstract: Mention problem statements of the research. Provide experimental design and sample collection of the research. Write the maturity level of the leaves, say, young, mature or old leaves. Wolf, which year?? Please check citation style of this journal. In citations, please mention the year. Last sentence of the abstract is incomplete. Please make corrections.</p> <p>Introduction: Introduction well written. The aim of the study should be written as in one sentence. It will be better if the author can provide one mechanical hypothesis at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Mention the variety name of the cassava plant used in this study. Describe detailed methodology how the sample leaves were collected and preserved for further analysis. Mention the growing condition of the cassava plants. Mention the maturity level of the leaves. Write the statistical software and test name used for the data analysis. Number of replication and probability level (5% or 1%) should be provided</p> <p>Results: Well results achieved in this study, however need to improve figures and data presentation in tables. Incomplete table title. Fiber content of what??? Provide the SE or SD of each data presented in the tables. Provide lettering in bar graphs. Error bars indicate SE or SD?? All the tables and figures are not self-explanatory. Correct titles of figures and tables. X axis line is missing in the figures. What do you mean by (a,b, c....) lettering??</p> <p>Discussion: Discussion is well written. Some errors or sentence making problem already notify in the text. Please make corrections according to comments and suggestions. Conclusion is okay and well written.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>References: Make sure all the cited references already included in the list of references and vice-versa. All scientific name used in the reference must be italics</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be considered for publication after the necessary corrections.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Moneruzzaman Khandaker
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia