

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_87206
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the nutritional quality and therapeutic virtues of cassava leaves infected by the mosaic virus and healthy cassava leaves in the Central African Republic .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript subject is very interesting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The manuscript full of grammatical mistakes, there are many typing and grammatical mistakes were observed. -The manuscript lacks coordination and interrelations between sentences and paragraphs - In the introduction part should be more highlighted the main aim of the paper. -Manuscript structure is quite scattered. -The abstract is not written in a systemic and concerted style. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The method of work should not be mentioned in the Abstract, e.g.the Kjeldhal method, AFNOR method...etc. - In general, all tables and figures should contain statistical analysis. -The authors do not propose to the original results but confirm what was already known. The leafs used are raw and have not identified the most effective fractions. -Replace the references of older years with the reference of latest years. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaa Jabbar Al-Manhel
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq