

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90786
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF CLOMIPHENE CITRATE VERSUS LETROZOLE ON SEMEN COUNT AND MOTILITY IN MEN WITH OLIGOASTHENOZOOSPERMIA IN OSOGBO, SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA: A RANDOMISED STUDY.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I totally appreciate the efforts that have been done by the authors in conducting this interesting topics but I have many questions about this presentation:</p> <p>Comments for authors:</p> <p>Abstract: Background section needs revision, totally. The introduction should include a better representation of the main rationale of the study and emphasis on the limitations of previous studies. Why the authors decided to conduct this study again? All results should be expressed by p value.</p> <p>Introduction: This section is too long. PI omits the some paragraphs, especially paragraph 2. In paragraph 3, the authors must be more explain the effect of the use of antioxidants in Idiopathic male factor infertility such as <i>Melissa officinalis</i>. (The authors should be mentioned to this new and valuable reference in introduction and discussion section: "Can <i>Melissa officinalis</i> improve chromatin structure and sperm parameters in a rat model of varicocele?. <i>Andrologia</i>. 2018; 50(8): e13058. https://doi.org/10.1111/and.13058 ").</p> <p>Methods: It was good.</p> <p>Results; This section was too long. It must be presented in the form of text, tables, and illustrations. The contents of the tables should not be repeated in the text. Instead, a reference to the table number may be given.</p> <p>Discussion: Paragraph 2 should be omitted (it is repetitive). Limitation and recommendation should be mentioned in the last paragraph of discussion.</p> <p>References: More than 50 % of references were before 2011 and need renewal. Almost references were very old (before 2015, almost before 2010). PI cites new research about this subject.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bijan Rezakhaniha
Department, University & Country	Aja University of Medical Sciences, Iran